

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/700,093		11/10/2000	Lucian Hirsch	P00-1767	1415	
21171	7590	05/18/2004		EXAMINER		
STAAS & I	HALSEY	LLP	ZHEN, LI B			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2126	7	
				DATE MAILED: 05/18/200	DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/700,093	HIRSCH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Li B. Zhen	2126				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ma	arch 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	·				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
	- <del>-</del>	d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies flot received	u.				
Attachment(s)	<u>_</u>					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dal					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/700,093

Art Unit: 2126

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,404,743 to Meandzija [cited in the previous office action] in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,987,514 to Rangarajan [cited in the previous office action].
- 3. As to claim 1, Meandzija teaches the invention substantially as claimed including transmitting state information [three types of notifications which an agent can send to a manger.... an alarm notification, a state change notification, and a value change notification; column 13, lines 55 67] between an agent [SNMP agents 130, 140 and 150, Fig. 1] and a manager [SNMP management station 110, Fig. 1] for a state realignments [management agents may also synchronously provide the management station 110 with important unsolicited information; column 10, lines 3 15];

sending, by the manager [management application 220... an events processing module 224], a request message [event information] for performing the state realignment to the agent [events processing module 224 is used to provide event

Art Unit: 2126

information that is communicated to the agent to define pre-conditions for the agent to generate an event; column 10, lines 57 – 67];

checking, by the agent, the state information with regard to deviations from a normal state [monitoring data associated with the agent to determine if the preconditions have been met; column 4, lines 55 – 65]; and

sending, by the agent, changes in the state information to the manager in one or more successive messages [generating the event at the agent and communicating a notification regarding the event from the agent to the management station via the network; column 4, lines 55 – 65].

4. Although Meandzija teaches substantially the invention as claimed, Meandzija does not specify an agent of one management level communicating with a manager of a next-higher management level.

However, Rangarajan teaches transmitting state information [event report] between an agent of one management level [mid-level manager: a mid-level agent that receives event request from the network manager; col. 3, lines 20 – 57] and a manager [network manager 48] of a next-higher management level [mid-level manager 40-45 generates an event report 78 and sends the event report 78 to the network manager 48; col. 6, lines 30 - 67] for a state realignment [ascertain the status of the devices associated with the mid-level managers 40-45; col. 5, lines 39 – 57] upon request of the manager [network manager 48 generates regularly scheduled event requests 82; col. 5, lines 39 – 57],

Art Unit: 2126

sending, by the manager, a request message [event request can contain the following fields: the name of the event request, a destination address, interval, count, and a list of attributes records having the threshold conditions; col. 8, lines 10 - 25] for performing the state realignment to the agent [network manager 48 generates regularly scheduled event requests 82 to mid-level managers 40-45 in order to ascertain the status of the devices associated with the mid-level managers 40-45; col. 5, lines 39 – 57];

checking, by the agent, the state information with regard to deviations from a normal state [mid-level managers 40-45 gather information from the various devices, compare the information to certain conditions, and generate events when the conditions are satisfied; col. 6, lines 30 - 57]; and

sending, by the agent, changes in the state information to the manager in one or more successive messages [mid-level manager 40-45 generates an event report 78 and sends the event report 78 to the network manager 48; col. 6, lines 43 - 57].

5. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to apply the teaching of communication between an agent of one management level and a manager of a next-higher management level as taught by Rangarajan to the invention of Meandzija because this allows mid-level managers to perform the basic monitoring function of retrieving attribute values from one or more low-level agents that are under the mid-level manager's control and forwards an event report signifying the occurrence of the event to the network manager [column 3, lines 40 – 55 of Rangarajan].

Art Unit: 2126

- 6. As to claim 2, Meandzija teaches utilizing state attributes selected from the group consisting of an operational state [operational state], an administrative state [an event forwarding discrimination group, which includes an administrative state, an operational state; column 11, lines 38 45] and a usage state [usage state 420; column 12, lines 29 36] as state information.
- 7. As to claim 3, Meandzija teaches the normal state is defined by values for the state attributes [state values and state transitions are as defined in the ITU-T X.731 standard; column 11, line 65 column 13, line 33] selected from the group consisting of an operational state, an administrative state, a usage state, an unknown state, an alarm status [value defined for the alarm status in the X.731 standard is a set of enumerated values; column 12, lines 54 65], and an available status [value defined for the availability status in the X.731 standard is a set of enumerated values; column 12, line 65 column 13, line 9].
- 8. As to claim 4, Meandzija teaches utilizing state attributes for characterizing an operational readiness [operational state 415 describes the operational state of the unit represented by the agent/subagent; column 12, lines 13 28], manageability [administrative state 410 describes the administrative state of the unit represented by the agent/subagent; column 11, line 65 column 12, line 2] and use of a resource [availability status 435 describes-the availability status of the unit represented by the

agent/subagent; column 12, line 65 – column 13, line 9] supported by the agent in the communication system as state information.

- 9. As to claim 5, Meandzija teaches utilizing status attributes, which specify for a resource supported by the agent in the communication system whether it is in an unknown state [unknown status describes the unknown status of the unit represented by the agent/subagent; column 13, lines 27 34], in an alarmed state [alarm status 430 describes the alarm status of the unit represented by the agent/subagent; column 12, lines 54 65] or in a state of availability [availability status 435 describes-the availability status of the unit represented by the agent/subagent; column 12, line 65 column 13, line 9], as state information.
- 10. As to claim 6, Meandzija teaches sending by the manager in the request message a correlation information item for a correlation of the respective request with messages containing changed state information received by the agent [Event Forwarding Discriminator (EFD) Group 530 comprises EFD configuration information defining what types of events an EFD will transform into notifications, at what times of day it will do so, and to which managers it will send the notifications to; column 13, lines 48 55].
- 11. As to claim 7, Meandzija teaches sending by the agent in a message for starting the state realignment, a correlation information item for correlating the messages

Art Unit: 2126

containing changed state information subsequently sent with the state realignment started in each case [once the agent generates an event as specified in the Event table 515, it checks an EFD Table 535 to find an EFD that matches that event and specifies what kind of notification is to be generated, and to which manager that notification is to be sent; column 14, lines 8-15].

- 12. As to claim 8, Meandzija teaches sending the correlation information generated by the agent in the message or messages containing the changed state information [generating the event at the agent and communicating a notification regarding the event from the agent to the management station via the network; column 4, lines 55 65].
- 13. As to claim 9, Meandzija teaches sending by the manager a parameter to the agent and controlling the state realignment in dependence on the parameter [event information also defines EFD information that defines pre-conditions for communicating a notification of an event from the agent 230 to the management station 210 via the network 160; column 10, lines 57 67].
- 14. As to claim 10, Meandzija teaches sending by the manager a parameter and automatically initiating the state realignment [automatic schedule] by the agent utilizing the parameter [the agent may have an automatic schedule which defines time periods in which a notification may be provided for certain events; column 6, lines 13 21].

Page 8

Art Unit: 2126

15. As to claims 11 and 12, Meandzija teaches providing a parameter by the manager with a parameter value which specifies a starting time [start time] and end time [stop time] for the automatic state realignment [scheduling function 540 includes specifications of a daily start and stop time and a weekly mask specifying when the EFD changes availability status from off-duty to available; column 14, lines 16 – 33].

- 16. As to claim 13, Meandzija teaches providing by the manager a parameter with a parameter value which specifies a time interval [time periods] for a repetition of the automatic state realignment [the agent may have an automatic schedule which defines time periods in which a notification may be provided for certain events; column 6, lines 13 21].
- 17. As to claim 14, Meandzija teaches providing by the manager a parameter with a parameter value which characterizes resources for which changed state information [specifies what type of notification] must be transmitted by the agent [Each EFD specifies what type of notification is to be sent for an event that has occurred in the agent; column 13, lines 55 67].
- 18. As to claim 15, Meandzija teaches providing, by the manager, a parameter [control status] with a parameter value that permits interruption [suspended] of a running state realignment [control status describes the control status of the unit represented by

Art Unit: 2126

the agent/subagent with the possible values of subjectToTest, partLocked, reservedToTest, suspended, and free; column 13, lines 8 – 19].

- 19. As to claim 16, Meandzija teaches sending, by the manager, the parameter to the agent in the request message [events processing module 224 is used to provide event information that is communicated to the agent to define pre-conditions for the agent to generate an event; column 10, lines 57 67].
- 20. As to claim 24, Meandzija teaches utilizing state attributes selected from the group consisting of an unknown state [unknown status describes the unknown status of the unit represented by the agent/subagent; column 13, lines 27 34], an alarm status [alarm status 430 describes the alarm status of the unit represented by the agent/subagent; column 12, lines 54 65], and an available status [availability status 435 describes-the availability status of the unit represented by the agent/subagent; column 12, line 65 column 13, line 9] as state information.
- 21. As to claims 25 28, these are rejected for the same reasons as claim 3 and 14
   15 above.
- 22. As to claims 17 23 and 29, these are system claims that correspond to method claims 1 5, 9, 10 and 24; note the rejection to claims 1 5, 9, 10 and 24 above, which also meets these system claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/700,093 Page 10

Art Unit: 2126

#### Response to Arguments

23. Applicant's arguments filed March 12, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant appears to argue that Rangarajan requires repeated requests in order to obtain full information while the applicant's invention "issues a single request to an agent to receive 'changes in said state information'" [p. 8, lines 26 - 31]. Examiner respect fully disagrees because Rangarajan teaches an event request can contain a list of attributes records having threshold conditions [col. 8, lines 10 - 25]. Since an event request can contain a list of attributes and conditions, Rangarajan teaches issuing an event request to receive changes [generate events when the conditions are satisfied; col. 6, lines 30 - 43] in the state information [gather information from the various devices, compare the information to certain conditions; col. 6, lines 30 - 43].

#### Conclusion

24. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2126

Page 11

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Li B. Zhen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3406. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Li B. Zhen Examiner Art Unit 2126

lbz

May 14, 2004

MENG-AL T. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100